Dear Hal, 10/5/94

Were it not that I'm up very early I'd have nothing to show for today and it is but an hour from my suppertime. And the mail was late so I got your 10/3 late. I read it and the enclosures waiting for Lil when she had to shop. But I'm too tired to go into many details. Thanks for those enclosures and especially for your fine reviews.

With regard to The Nation ad, in the margin it says Review, September 18, 1994. Was there a review of it in The Nation?

All the points Smith makes are good but I doubt relevant. There is a mystique about special bullets but none was needed.

I did not understand you or you are confused. There was no 1/24/64 transcript so I have none of it in WW IV. The trancript I have there is of 1/27 and is complete. If you refer to another trancript, they are all in the basement and I can no longer go there but if you give me the date first time someone can get it for me I'll copy and mail it.

There is, alas, too much wrong with Houston's piece and it reads too much like the typical assassination-theory stuff so if the NY Review were not stinkers it would have turned them off anyway. But they turned themselves off years ago, And the worst part is that this kind of stuff gives the finks the excuse they need to reject all. That stuff about the tramps in particular. And Kurtz as a decent source? All the mythology Houston does not know enough to know is mythology is poison to us all.

I hope that when you get to COPA you can come up. Someone whose name I did not get is coming on Monday.

Now I've got to catch up on the rest of the mail so it will not keep me from writing tomorrow.

Thanks and best,

Harold